

Work Package 5

Smart Grid Solutions

E-Mobility NSR WP5

London, 10th April 2014

Project Team

European Union

Prof Ghanim Putrus

Dr Sara Walker

Dr Edward Bentley

Mrs Gill Lacey

Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK







WP5: Smart Grid Solutions



Aims (Northumbria Contribution)

- Study the impact of Smart Grid solutions, such as V2G, and demand management.
- Model EV battery behaviour and test EV batteries to quantify the implications of using the EV battery for Grid support including smoothing demand, meeting grid regulations and optimise the use of renewable energy.
- Quantify the economic and engineering implications of V2G which would make the acquisition of an EV a more attractive investment for the owner, the manufacturer and the grid operator.
- Define requirements to allow for economic V2G and develop a business case.



European Union



Progress so far

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region

European Union



System modelling, analysis and development

- Impact of EV charging and generation from renewables on the grid.
- Controlled EV charging (G2V) to support the grid.
- Implementation of V2G for existing and future power distribution networks (Smart Grids).
- Use of second life EV batteries for storage and grid support (BESS).
- Grid-code, network specifications and requirements relevant to V2G. Battery requirements based on smart grid use.
- A computer model of a typical low voltage (LV) network, including EVs, RES, BESS in the presence of low carbon technologies (e.g. heat pumps) has been developed.
- A laboratory experimental model of a smart battery charger is under development.







Progress so far



System modelling and analysis

A computer model is used to simulate a typical LV network and show how the power flow and voltage vary though a 24 hour period with and without EVs, renewable energy sources, battery energy storage systems, etc.

The model allows evaluation of the impacts of EV charging posts and analysis of smart grids solutions, G2V, V2G, smart charging and the impact of battery cycling on the battery state of health.



The modelling tool was developed as part of a project funded by Charge Your Car North (Electric Vehicle Infrastructure – Smart Grids and EV Infrastructure Regional Impact).







EV charging on arrival in Winter



Transformer Loading

European Union

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region End of line voltages





30% EVs with phased charging to avoid overload and excessive voltage drops



Transformer Loading

End of line voltages







30% EVs with V2G to avoid overload and excessive voltage drops



Transformer Loading

European Union

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region End of line voltages





2050 level of renewables in Winter and Summer



Transformer Loading 50% EVs in winter with phased charging to avoid reverse power flow

European Union

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region End of line voltages 90% EVs in summer with G2V to avoid over-voltages



Transformer loading with 2050 level of renewables in Summer with 500 kWh bulk storage to avoid reverse power flow









Progress so far



Battery testing

- Dedicated equipment to analyse the state of health (SOH) of rechargeable batteries. Up to 16 cells can be simultaneously cycled (charge/discharge) in a controlled way (independently) and controlled environment (temperature and humidity).
- Analyse battery degradation and define factors affecting the ageing process
- Model battery degradation and develop a battery aging model

Collaboration with Ghent University

 Data from actual EV driving trials collected by Ghent University is being analysed to validate lab results and develop battery SOH model

Publications

• 3 conferences, 3 invited talks, 2 journal papers











Progress so far



Collaboration with Ghent University

- Experimental data collected by Ghent University from actual EV driving trials.
- Initial work is conducted to establish the degree of degradation in battery SOH.
- It is necessary to know the energy input to the battery (during charging) to obtain a given increase in battery state of charge; the energy input will decrease as the battery SOH falls. Therefore, the variation in SOH can be derived.
- With appropriate data, a correlation between the degradation in SOH and charge/discharge cycles may be defined.
- The results of data analysis will then be compared lab testing results and with those predicted by existing theoretical and simulation work, and adjustment made to the parameters in the latter so that the model developed reflects reality.



European Union



Battery SOC from driving data





SOC vs sample number from driving data containing a number of discharge cycles

European Union

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region

Close up of 2nd discharge cycle showing sample numbers for start and finish of the cycle, with corresponding SOC. SOC fell from 91.5% to 40.7%



2.6

2.8

x 10⁴

Battery I, V from driving data



Battery current and voltage versus sample number





e-mobility NSR

Driving time during 2nd discharge cycle





Plot of time in minutes versus sample number showing a total duration of 95 minutes for 2nd discharge cycle.

In 45 minutes there are 5420 samples, giving sampling rate of 2Hz.





Battery power for 2nd discharge cycle





2nd discharge cycle with corresponding SOC versus sample number

Plot of V*I (W) versus time (s), regenerative positive going data weighted at 85% to allow for losses

Total net energy supplied by battery = $\int V^*I dt$

Using trapezoidal numerical integration gives total energy supplied by the battery during the 2^{nd} discharge cycle = 4.0364e+007 Ws = 1.1212e+004 Wh = 11.212 kWh







Battery SOH from driving data

- The 2nd discharge cycle lasted for 95 minutes, during which the battery has provided 11.212 kWh.
- A Nissan Leaf was used for the trial, which has a battery capacity of 24 kWh at 100% SOH. If battery initial SOC = 91.5% (0.915), such a power drawdown would correspond to a change in SOC of 11.212 / $(24 \times 0.915) = 51.06\%$ with a battery having 100% SOH.
- The measured change in SOC for the discharge cycle was: (0.915 – 0.407) / 0.915 = 56%
- Therefore SOH for this battery during the 2^{nd} cycle was: (51.06 / 56) × 100 = 91.16%





The Interreg IVB

European Union

Battery Testing



- Samples of Li Ion cells and packs are being tested
- Cycling has been programmed to investigate the factors that determine the battery state of health (SOH):
 - Cell temperature
 - Charge/Discharge rate

European Union

- Average state of charge (SOC)
- Depth of Discharge (DOD)
- Number of charge/discharge cycles
- Data measured at 1 minute intervals and stored in excel files for analysis





Recommendations for prolonging the life of the battery



- ➢ Keep battery temp between 20 and 35°C
 - Ensure BMS keeps cells temperature within range
 - Avoid fast charging/discharging, especially when ambient temp is high
- ➤ Keep average SOC low
 - Minimise charging as much as practically possible. Charge before next use (smart charging).
 - V2G can be used to minimise SOC!
- ➢ Keep DOD low
 - Allow low charge/discharge several times rather than full recharging/discharging
- Keep charging rate low

European Union

• Charge at the lowest convenient current rate whenever possible







Publications



Invited talks

- 1. "Integration of Low Carbon Technologies in Power Networks Impacts, Challenges and Opportunities" EFEA 2012 June 2012, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.
- 2. "Smart Grids: Energising Future Power Networks", keynote speech, ICEEP III conference, October 2013, Hebron, Palestine.
- 3. "Smart Charging of Electric Vehicles", EPSRC Workshop on Smart Management of Electric Vehicles, Cardiff University, March 2014.

Journal papers

- 1. "Smart Grids: Energising the Future", International Journal of Environmental Studies, Vol. 70, No. 5, October 2013, pp 691-701.
- 2. "Development of a Decentralized Smart Charge Controller for Electric Vehicles", accepted for publication in the Elsevier International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, April 2014.

Conference papers

- 1. "Modelling the Use of Second Life Electric Vehicle Batteries for Storage and Grid Support", IEEE EUROCON2013, (Zagreb), July 2013.
- 2. "The Effect of Cycling on the State of Health of the Electric Vehicle Battery", UPEC2013 Conference Proceeding, Dublin, Ireland, Sept. 2013.
- 3. "A Modelling Tool to Investigate the Effect of Electric Vehicle Charging on Low Voltage Networks", EVS27 conference Barcelona, Spain, November 17-20, 2013.

Prepared for publication

- 1. "Energy Efficiency in Electric and Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles and its Impact on Total Cost of Ownership", accepted in "E-Mobility Business Models" published by IEA IA-HEV, April 2014.
- 2. "The implications for the degradation of Electric Vehicle batteries of Smart Grid behaviour", prepared for publication.







Battery Testing



File Edit Print View Control Settings Help																			
(10)/0/16	Test Name	Schedule	Status		<50	chedule File Window>	CD rate 2	23kW.sdu -	a de l'ant lines de la l	-	Carrett	Press	_ 0	X	٦	AC AC	AuxV1	1	
001		-		File	0 F	Edit Drint View Se	ttings He	In		_					Г		233.3068 (mV)	1	
002	M3	CRPE 4 sdu	Charge												5	0.00.0.0	239 1067 (m\/)	-	
002	Chinash and	Contraction	Dest		8								1		H	0.00 0.0	220 5454 (mV)	-	
003	Chinook_cap	2C_Temp.sa	Rest			Sten Label	Number	Control Type	Control Value	Extra	Control Value	Extra Control	Current Pange	E	н	0.00 0.0	229.5154 (mV)	-	
004	E_cap2	CD_rate_3k	Discharge			Step Laber	Of Limits	control type	Control Value		1	Value 2	current hange	C	1	0.00 0.0	451.3887 (mV)	-	
005	-	-	•	1	2	🕻 initial	1	Rest									238.1700 (mV)		
006		-				Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Type1		Sign1	Value1	Type2		Ш		236.4323 (mV)		
007	N T cap2	4.5A.sdu	Discharge		1			discharge	PV_CHAN_Step_Time	>=		00:00:10			1	0.00 0.0	253.9025 (mV)		
008	 J2	CRPE 1.sdu	Charge	2	1 8	discharge	2	Current(A)	-6.8		C! 4	Malaad	High		b	0.00 0.0	199.6585 (mV)		
009			onange		1	Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Iype1		Sign1	Value1	Iype2				214 8021 (m\/)		
040	-				2			post drive rest	DV Time	>=		-0.00	FV_ORAN_Step_rime				240 7000 (-	
010	•	-	•	3	2	2 post drive rest	2	Rest	DV_nine			00.01.00			4		216.7066 (mV)	-	
011	K4	CRPF_3.sdu	Rest		ſ	Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Type1	-	Sian1	Value1	Type2	_	P	0.00 0.0	212.5334 (mV)		
012	F_T_cap2	CD_rate_7k	Discharge		1	_		reset charged cap	PV CHAN Step Time	>=	3	00:10:00	.,,			0.00 0.0	420.5474 (mV)		
013	-				2				DV_Time	>=		00:01:00		Ξ	Т		2.1629 (V)		
014	Puma can?	1C town edu	Chargo	4	2	reset charged cap	1	Set Variable(s)	Reset	Increr	ment	Decrement				0.00.0.0	212 1676 (m\/)		
045		CD ante 201	Disaba			Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Type1		Sign1	Value1	Type2		H	0.00 0.0	245 0442 (-	
015	P_cap2	CD_rate_23k	Discharge		1		V	internal resistance	PV_CHAN_Step_Time	>=		00:00:00			1	0.00 0.0	315.8113 (mV)	-	
016	L3	CRPF_2.sdu	Discharge	5	8	internal resistance	1	Internal Resistance	Amp:3	ms:1.	00	Offset:0	High		D	0.00 0.0	226.3914 (mV)		
					1	Log Limit	Step Lími	Goto Step	Iype1		Sign1	Value1	Type2						
				6		✓ 23kw charge	2	Z3KW_cnarge	6.8	>=		00:00:00	High						
						Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Type1		Sign1	Value1	Type2						
					1			charged rest	PV CHAN Current	<=	Sigiri	0.68	PV CHAN Step Time	>=					
					2				DV Time	>=		00:01:00			L				
				7	2	cycle counter	1	Set Variable(s)	Reset	Increr	ment	Decrement							
						Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Type1		Sign1	Value1	Туре2						
					1		V	discharge	PV_CHAN_Cycle_Index	>=		1							
				8	2	charged rest	2	Rest											
						Log Limit	Step Limi	Goto Step	Type1		Sign1	Value1	Type2						
					1			reset discharge cap	PV_CHAN_Step_Time	>=		00:10:00			L				
				•				III						•	L				
						Global A Step / Lim	it / Formu	ıla /							L				
Detail View / Cell Voltage / Brief Vie 2013-09-23 09:23:03 AM]																			
1 2013-09-23, 09:22:19) Prepare results file for starting channel(s) successfully.																			
[2013	3-09-23, 09:	22:19] Succe	eded to st	art te	est F	cap2 on the follov	ving chani	nels: Channel 15											
A REALENCHINT /																			







Factors affecting battery degradation

e-mobility NSR

Permanent loss in Capacity (kWh) or performance (kW)

- Due to a change in chemical and physical construction of the battery, which results in an increased internal resistance in the battery and/or a reduced upper and lower voltage.
- The chemical changes affect the ohmic, charge transfer or diffusion resistance.
- The physical changes affect how much ion transfer, and thus capacity is possible before the battery reaches its maximum SOC.
- The behaviour of a particular EV user will change these parameters, and so the battery in the EV will age at a different rate to others

The Interreg IVB



Hysteresis curves for cells of different ages





Factors affecting battery degradation



State of Charge

Higher average SOC degrades batteries faster than a low average.

Temperature

Higher temperatures degrade batteries faster than lower temperatures

Current

Faster charging current rates degrade batteries faster than slower rates

Depth of Discharge

The deeper the DOD, the faster the battery will degrade

Number of Cycles

Charging and discharging a battery causes it to age faster than time alone









Battery Temperature

- EV batteries are designed to operate at an ambient temperature between -10°C and 40°C
- The literature shows ageing as temperature increases, which has been reflected in the model
- Testing is underway to cycle batteries at different temperatures from 0°C to 40°C. Test results will allow the model to reflect the battery's behaviour more precisely.

European Union

The Interreg IVB



http://batteryuniversity.com/learn/article/how_to_prolong_ lithium_based_batteries



Average State of Charge

Test results verify the literature, that low average SOC reduces capacity loss and thus increases battery life



Based on 4 'Enix' Li-ion cells, capacity 6.8Ah cycled on a daily basis between 25 and 75% SOC for 100 cycles.

European Union



e-mobility NSR



Smart charging can lower average SOC







Charge / Discharge Rate

Tests at different charge rate show some variation but the trend is clear



European Union

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region Cycling tests are currently underway, mimicking 3 kW, 7 kW, 23 kW and 50 kW charging

kW equivalent charging rate	% capacity loss / cycle				
3	0.013				
7	0.028				
23	0.3200				

These cells are not designed to run at 1C so results for 23kW is not typical.

	Change in internal resistance (over 100 cycles)	Change in capacity (over 100 cycles)
1 C cycling	4.5%	1.4%
2 C cycling	4.4%	2.6%

Different cells with 2C capability show increased ageing with rapid charging



Depth of Discharge or Change in SOC



Capacity decreases with depth of discharge



The graph was constructed for a Lead acid battery, but with different scaling factors, it is typical for all cell chemistries including Lithium-ion. This is because battery life depends on the **total energy throughput** that the active chemicals can tolerate. Ignoring other ageing effects, the total energy throughput is fixed so that one cycle of 100% DOD is roughly equivalent to 2 cycles at 50% DOD and 10 cycles at 10% DOD and 100 cycles at 1% DOD.





The European Regional Development Fund

Results appear to show that the effect of DOD or \triangle SOC on capacity loss is not statistically significant



It is unclear whether DOD is the same as change in SOC as published results always use 100% SOC as the max value



Scenarios Considered in Lab testing (realistic values)



- Cells are charged at C-rate to match existing charge points, controlling SOC and discharge rate.
 - Three cells charging at C/8 (slow), C/3 (medium) and 1C (fast)
 - Two cells cycling at 1C (fast) rate and 2C (rapid) rate
- Four cells are cycled with two different charge rates
 - Charging immediately after driving,
 - Immediately before driving,

European Union



e-mobility NSR



Scenarios Considered in Lab testing (range of values)



- Three cells are cycled at different currents, maintaining that value for constant C/D rate
- \succ Cells are cycled at different DOD, each with a constant \triangle SOC
- Two cells are cycled at different temperatures







Summary of Results Obtained so far



• Internal resistance of the cell appears to increase with cycle number, which agrees with published results



Cell cycled at 2C





Summary of Results Obtained so far



• Charging capacity decreases with cycle number at fast charging







Temperature effects



Capacity is affected most strongly by temperature

Ongoing results will allow us to screen out this factor. Existing comparative results are undertaken at the same time and place, to eliminate this bias



European Union

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region

Internal resistance decreases with temperature, masking the ageing effects.

Since this factor appears to be linear, a correction factor can be placed in the results.





Further Analysis -Temperature

The Interreg IVB North Sea Region

European Union



• Temperature of cells rises during charging and decreases at the start of discharge before leveling off and rising





Method for establishing SOH for battery





If a battery, of original capacity y kWh, has aged so its SOH is A, then its actual capacity (100% SOC) is Ay.

Thus a fixed loss in energy (x) will result in a larger loss in SOC as A decreases.

$$SOC_{aged} = \frac{Ay - x}{Ay}.100\%$$





Method for establishing SOH for battery



If a battery loses x kWh of charge, where the rated capacity is y kWh, then

the SOC is

$$SOC_{new} = \frac{y-x}{y}.100\%$$

However, the SOC is measured from the actual battery data and thus the aged SOC (SOC_{aged}) is the displayed value.

Thus, for any value of capacity

European Union

$$SOH = \frac{SOC_{aged}}{SOC_{new}}.100\%$$

And

$$SOH = \frac{SOC_{aged}}{\frac{y - x}{y}}.100\%$$

For example, a 16kWh nominal capacity battery loses 8kWh of energy. The display reads 40% SOC remaining.

And the battery is at the end of its useful life.

The European Regional Developr

$$SOH = \frac{0.40}{\frac{16-8}{16}} = 80\%$$





Conclusions so far

- Charging of EVs can cause problems for power networks if not appropriately controlled.
- Smart charging not only reduces the impact of EVs on the grid but also provide opportunities to use the EV to support to the grid via G2V. With appropriate smart controllers and V2G, EVs can provide ancillary services such as supply/demand matching and voltage/frequency control.
- Use of second life EV batteries can provide a valuable support for the grid and therefore reduce the EV total cost of ownership.
- More work is needed to verify interim results regarding battery degradation and SOH. More cycling whilst controlling temperature will help to verify understanding of ageing mechanisms.
- Analysis of data provided by Ghent University and experimental results will allow the battery ageing model to be verified.
- Defining the battery degradation factors will enable optimum charging/discharging control strategies to prevent or minimize the damage to the battery whilst providing V2G service.







Further work

The ultimate aim is to establish a charging regime for EV batteries which will permit economical V2G and smart charging suitable for smart grids:

- 1. Use temperature chamber for more testing to analyse the effect of battery temperature
- 2. Develop model for battery degradation and check against lab results and data from real EV trials from Ghent University
- 3. Using the model, establish conditions for minimizing battery degradation and verify in the lab
- 4. Use economic models to establish a business case for V2G





